

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SCOTT ALLEN SLOANE,)	3:11-cv-00008-LRH-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS</u>
)	
vs.)	February 27, 2013
)	
STATE OF NEVADA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: Katie Lynn Ogden REPORTER: FTR

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF: Scott Allen Sloane, In Pro Per (Telephonically)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS: Brian W. Hagen, Esq. (Telephonically)

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: Motion Hearing

1:35 p.m. Court Convenes.

In view of plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Dkt. 107 Order (Dkt. #108), the court and parties discuss the posture of this case to determine whether to stay the case as requested by plaintiff is his "Motion to Stay All Proceedings" (Dkt. #110) or to allow discovery to proceed, which plaintiff requested in his "Motion Requesting the Court to Issue a Discovery Schedule (Dkt. #100).

Plaintiff explains that he has requested a stay in discovery based, in part, awaiting the disposition of his motion for relief from District Judge Hick's Order (Dkt. #107), and, in part, to stay the deadline of the Proposed Joint Pre-Trial Order that District Judge Hick's directed parties to file within thirty (30) days from entry of his order adopting and accepting the Dkt. #95 Report and Recommendation (Dkt. #107). Mr. Sloan informs the court that, should his motion for relief be denied, he anticipates to file a Notice of Appeal with Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

The court inquires whether any discovery has been accomplished at this time. Mr. Sloan indicates that there has been no discovery whatsoever sought in this case. Mr. Hagen confirms that he has not received any request for production of documents, interrogatories or request for admissions from plaintiff.

In light of the parties representation that no discovery has been accomplished or

By: /s/
Katie Lynn Ogden, Deputy Clerk